

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/805,653 | 03/13/2001 | Kenneth F. Buechler | 071949-1314 | 4257 | |
| 75 | 90 10/28/2002 | | | | |
| RICHARD J. WARBURG, ESQ. | | | EXAMINER | | |
| FOLEY & LARDNER | | | ALEXANDER, LYLE | | |
| P.O. BOX 8027 | 8 CA 92138-0278 | | | | |
| SAN DIEGO,, C | CA 92136-0276 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1743 | Λ - | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/28/2002 | /2 | |
| | | | | ノ | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
|------------------|--|----------------------|--|
| 09/805,653 | | BUECHLER, KENNETH F. | |
| Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| Lvie A Alexander | | 1743 | |

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

| Therefore final reject condition | PLY FILED 30 September 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. e, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a ction under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued tion (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. |
|--|--|
| | PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] |
| a) 🛛 🗆 | The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. |
| · • | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). |
| Extensi have been fit 37 CFR 1.17 (b) above, if | ions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee led is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 7(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any not term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |
| | Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. |
| 2. The | e proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: |
| (a) 🗵 | they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); |
| (b) 🛚 | they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); |
| (c) 🛭 | they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or |
| (d) 🗀 | they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. |
| | NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. |
| 3.☐ App | plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): |
| | wly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment nceling the non-allowable claim(s). |
| | e a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the oplication in condition for allowance because: |
| | e affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly ised by the Examiner in the final rejection. |
| | r purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an planation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. |
| Th | e status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: |
| CI | aim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . |
| CI | aim(s) objected to: none. |
| CI | aim(s) rejected: <u>74-100</u> . |
| CI | aim(s) withdrawn from consideration: |
| 8. Th | e proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. |
| 9. No | te the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) |
| 10. Ot | ther: |
| | LYLE A. ALEXANDER 9/30/02 PRIMARY EXAMINER |
| | |

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/80,5,653

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: It is not clear where in the original specification there is support for the claimed "different" ligands and this limitation has not been previously searched requiring further consideration.